

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/966,939

Applicant(s)

SOWDEN ET AL.

Examiner

Robert B. Davis

Art Unit

1722

## All Participants:

(1) Robert B. Davis.

(2) David R. Crichton.

## Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 8 August 2003

Time: 9:40

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None.*

Claims discussed:

*None.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.*

## Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Crichton to suggest changes to the Brief Description Section of the specification. The examiner left a phone message. Mr. Crichton called the examiner and it was agreed to modify existing text to include figures 19D, 39A, 60A, 60B, 66, 67. It was also agreed to add text describing figure 89. The changes are detailed in the attached examiner's amendment..